

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/521,895		01/19/2005	Allister Stewart	FKC-100-A	8854	
29296	7590	05/02/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
JULIA CHURCH DIERKER DIERKER & ASSOCIATES, P.C.				THOMAS,	THOMAS, DAVID B	
3331 W. BIG BEAVER RD. SUITE 109				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
TROY, M	I 48084	1-2813		3723		
				DATE MAII ED: 05/02/2004	DATE MAIL ED: 05/02/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/521,895	STEWART, ALLISTER				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
•		David B. Thomas	3723				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Period fo	·		O) OD THUDTY (20) DAYC				
WHIC - Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Deperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vare to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•						
1)[2]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 M	larch 200 <u>5</u> .					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	63 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims	•					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application	, ^	*				
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers		•				
9)🖂	The specification is objected to by the Examine	ır.					
10)[🛛	The drawing(s) filed on 19 January 2005 is/are	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected	to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	9 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)🛛	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f)				
	a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
	 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receive	d in this National Stage				
	application from the International Bureau						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
			•				
Attachmen	t(s)		,				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	ite atent Application (PTO-152)				
	r No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/19/05, 3/22/05</u> .	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/521,895 Page 2

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The specification fails to provide the <u>appropriate headings</u> in accordance with U.S. practice. Page 4 of the specification is also objected to for having markings in the form of a large "Z" at the bottom.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for *four formations* in the first embodiment, and *three formations* in the second embodiment, does not reasonably provide enablement for "at least one", which, by definition, means having only one formation, up to an unlimited number. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.
- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which

Application/Control Number: 10/521,895

Art Unit: 3723

applicant regards as the invention. Claim 1 recites "a mechanism", however, the claim fails to provide any structural limitations, or elements, to define the mechanism.

Although a claim, or claims, is interpreted in light of the specification, the claims themselves must clearly define the structure of the apparatus that the applicant regards as the invention. The claims are also indefinite as it is unclear whether the "support structure" is the "plate", or the "plate" is a separate element. If the "support structure" is the "plate", then claim 4 is further rendered indefinite because the claim suggests that these are two separate elements. Appropriate correction/clarification is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1-3, 5, and 10, as well as understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Leon (EP 0593323).
- 8. Claims 1, 5, and 8, as well as understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Strom (1,900,314).
- 9. Claims 1, 6, and 8, as well as understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Stacey (2,519,157).
- 10. Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, and 9, as well as understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being Clearly anticipated by Holhut (2,102,897).

Allowable Subject Matter

Art Unit: 3723

11. Claim 7 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 1st and 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

12. The remaining prior art made of record but not relied upon, at this time, is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David B. Thomas whose telephone number is (571) 272-4497. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 10am-7pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph J. Hail can be reached on (571) 272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David B. Thomas Primary Examiner Art Unit 3723